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Agenda of the Presentation

- Methodology and Approach of the Study

- Effects and Added Value of the Science Link Project
  - Which effects of Science Link do project partners experience?
  - How do the companies evaluate Science Link?
  - Where are possible challenges and frictions?

- Science Link 2.0? Expectations and Recommendations
Methodology and Approach of the Study

Scientific Study on the Effects and Added Value of the Science Link project

- **Timeframe:** February-July 2013
- **Objective:**
  - How are project partners and companies evaluating the effects and added value of the Science Link project?
  - What are the expectations towards a permanent research network (“Science Link 2.0“)?
- **Methodology:**
  - Qualitative Approach
  - 15 Interviews: 7 companies, 4 research infrastructures, 4 local contact points
Effects and Added Value of the Science Link Project

1. “Structural Added Value“ of Science Link
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2. The Perspective of the Research Infrastructures

- “New Dimension of Service Orientation”:
  - “the pooling of their equipment and services as well as jointly approaching companies brings a new quality of customer-orientation” (Interview RI:B)

- “Industrial Spirit to Research Infrastructures”:
  - An adaptation of the structures towards the companies’ needs through human resources (more consultation & guidance), customer orientation (waiting time, beam time allocation), change in equipment
  - “The industry has different expectations on what kind of equipment should be available.” (Interview RI:B)
  - Mutual Learning Process

- “Geography does matter”:
  - Through the Local Contact Points, Science Link provides access to new networks and markets, overcomes socio-cultural challenges (e.g. language)
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3. The Perspective of the Local Contact Points

- "Regional and Interregional Networking":
  - For regional authorities: New relations to large-scale infrastructure facilities
  - For universities: Intensify cooperation with RI and mutual learning and exchange process
  - Incentive to establish new or re-new relations to partners and companies in the region

- "Attractiveness and Competitiveness of Institutional and Regional Services”
  - Access to international network and funding opportunities for companies as “add-on” to existing service palette
  - Visibility and marketing through Science Link
  - Expanding the existing equipment and infrastructure, no large-scale infrastructures available in region
  - But: no access for academic researchers
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4. The Perspective of the Companies

- “Information Source”
- “Impetus and Competitive Edge for Development”:
  - Access to high-class research infrastructure a financial and organisational challenge – otherwise not possible mainly because of time and finances
  - Research provides impetus for development of existing products and ideas for new products
- “Open Space for Innovation”
  - “When you are a start-up you need to be extremely focused on resources (…), so this gave us an opportunity to look a bit wider.” (Interview Company S)
  - “… you have to make some risk assessment and in this case it would be very difficult to assume and therefore get a value on that and therefore to get the financial support.” (Interview Company D)
### Effects and Added Value of the Science Link Project

5. Current challenges and frictions within the Science Link project

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Research Infrastructure</th>
<th>“Information Asymmetry”</th>
<th>“Institutional Logics”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|                         | • Companies need intensive support and consultancy before, during and after research  
|                         | • Assistance regarding equipment and measurements | • Currently strong focus on academic users (equipment, organisation)  
|                         |                                                       | • Fully booked and long-term planning |
| Local Contact Points    | • Need to understand the RIs  
|                         | • Consultancy, “the companies don’t even think about (...) what kind of material research they would like to have“ (Interview RA) | • Service Orientation  
|                         |                                                       | • “Two Hats”: need to understand companies’ logics + provide scientific support  
|                         |                                                       | • “Independent Brooker” |
| Companies               | • Little knowledge: “there is a gap (...) RIs had problems understanding the companies problems and vice versa“ (Interview UL)  
|                         | • Need for transparent information and communication flow, reliable contact person | • Highest priority: fast, easy and cost-efficient access  
|                         |                                                       | • “Product Logic”: Consultancy, Implementation, Evaluation  
|                         |                                                       | • Uncertainty and Hesitation about IP-rights |
Science Link 2.0? Expectations and Recommendations

1. Potential Structure of Science Link 2.0

- **Research Infrastructures (RI)**
- **Local Contact Points** (RA: Regional Authority; Uni: University)
- **Science Link**
- **Companies**
Science Link 2.0? Expectations and Recommendations

2. Ideas for Improvement on the Cooperation Levels

- Institutional adaptation to the needs of companies
- Service-orientation regarding availability and consultation

- Institutionalised and independent coordination unit
- Permanent Contact Person
- Familiar with services of all RIs
- Tasks: Assistance to LCP, Training Sessions, Selection Process
- Even more important with expanded network

- Strong cooperation between academic and business side
- Important Initial Contact – Conferences, workshops, personal
- Strong national networks

- Clear communication and support chain
- Local Consultation to overcome uncertainties (“protected arena”)
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3. Potential Consequences for the provided services

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Finances</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial Contact</td>
<td>Initial Contact should be free-of-charge – “it is the job of the network to convince the companies’ that it is worth its price” (Interview RI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Interactive Workshops + Contact Platforms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• “Protected” Arena for Exchange</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coordination Unit</td>
<td>Willingness of companies to pay for the service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• “Face” of the Project</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Support to LCP and RIs (Training, Consultation,...)</td>
<td>Multi-step financial model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Marketing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Transparent and faster communication and selection</td>
<td>Cost efficient services – sending in samples</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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